



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/057,914

01/29/2002

Jens-Peter Redlich

A7995

3714

7590

05/02/2006

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER

PATEL, CHIRAG R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2141

DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/057,914

Applicant(s)

REDLICH ET AL.

Examiner

Chirag R. Patel

Art Unit

2141

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed January 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Per the amended claims limitations, "wherein a connection is established between the terminal and the ISP for trusted network services without providing the terminal with direct access to the internet", Giniter discloses per Col 9 lines 30-56 "An Internet Point-of-Presence (POP) 220 provides an access point for communication between edge device 110 and Internet 100" and this reads on the claim limitations in that the access to the internet goes to the Internet Point-of-Presence (POP) 220, and in that sense it is not have direct access.

Claim Objections

Claim 32 objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 32 depends on itself. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1-22, 24-25, 28, 30, 33 and 35-37, and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Giniger et al. (US 6,751,729).

As per claim 1, Giniger et al. discloses a method for performing mutual authentication and authorization of a user's terminal device (U) and an Internet Service Provider (P) (Col 11 lines 55-58) in order to establish secure communication between the terminal (U) and a trusted network element (T) to the Internet via an untrusted access station (A) comprising:

- establishing an association between a terminal (U) and an untrusted access station (A); (Col 9 lines 30-35)

- transmitting an ISP authentication packet from terminal (U) to ISP (P) via the untrusted access station (A); (Col 14 lines 47-50)

- sending a user authentication packet from said ISP (P) to said terminal (U) via said untrusted access station (A); (Col 8 lines 36-41)

- upon authentication of said terminal (U) and said ISP (P), said ISP performs the following: generating a session key; (Col 15 line 19)

- distributing said session key to said terminal (U) and a trusted network element (T), wherein said session key is used to encrypt traffic between the terminal (U) and the trusted network element (T); (Col 15 lines 19-22)

- establishing a secure tunnel such that the terminal (U) may communicate with the Internet via said trusted network element (T); wherein said secure tunnel

Art Unit: 2141

emulates a physical link between the terminal (U) and the trusted network element (T) (Col 11 lines 55-58) such that traffic transmitted between the terminal (U) and said Internet via said trusted network element (T) is secure from modification or eavesdropping by said third party access station (A). (Col 12 lines 14-22, Col 6 lines 14-22)

wherein a connection is established between the terminal the ISP for trusted network services without providing the terminal with direct access to the internet. (Col 9 lines 30-56 "An Internet Point-of-Presence (POP) 220 provides an access point for communication between edge device 110 and Internet 100" and this reads on the claim limitations in that the access to the internet goes to the Internet Point-of-Presence (POP) 220, and in that sense it is not have direct access.)

As per claim 2, Giniger et al. discloses the method for performing mutual authentication and authorization of a terminal (U) and an Internet Service Provider (P) in order to establish a secure tunnel between the terminal (U) and a trusted network element to the Internet (T) via an untrusted access station (A) of claim 1, wherein the ISP (P) authentication packet contains an authentication challenge (CH_U) from terminal (U) to ISP (P) to authenticate the identity of ISP (P). (Col 5 lines 62-65, Col 12 lines 15-17, Col 12 lines 25-27, Col 14 lines 57-62)

As per claim 3, Giniger et al. discloses the method for performing mutual authentication and authorization of a terminal (U) and an Internet Service

Art Unit: 2141

Provider (P) in order to establish a secure tunnel between the terminal (U) and a trusted network element to the Internet (T) via an untrusted access station (A) of claim 1, wherein the user authentication packet contains an authentication challenge (CH_P) from ISP (P) to the terminal (U) to authenticate the identity of user (U). (Col 5 lines 58-67, Col 12 lines 25-27, Col 14 lines 52-57)

As per claim 4, Giniger et al. discloses a method for providing public access to IP-based networks via an untrusted infrastructure having untrusted access points comprising:

establishing a connection between an IP-device (U) and said untrusted access point (A), (Col 9 lines 30-35, Figure 2 item 220) wherein an IP address is dynamically allocated to said IP device; (Col 11 lines 59-63)

transmitting an ISP authentication request from said IP device (U) to an internet service provider (P) affiliated with said IP device (U), (Col 14 lines 47-50) wherein said authentication request is transmitted through said untrusted access point (A) affiliated with said untrusted third party owned infrastructure; (Col 9 lines 30-35, Figure 2 item 200)

transmitting a user authentication request from said ISP (P) to said IP device (U) to determine whether said IP device (U) is a valid user affiliated with said ISP (P), (Col 8 lines 36-41) wherein said authentication request is transmitted through said untrusted access point (A) affiliated with said untrusted third party owned infrastructure; (Col 9 lines 30-35, Figure 2 item 200)

Art Unit: 2141

when said ISP (P) authentication request and said user authentication requests is affirmative, (Col 14 lines 54-67) said ISP (P): generates a key session for encrypting data packets; and distributes said session key to said IP device (U) and a trusted node (T), wherein said session key is used to encrypt data transmitted between said IP device (U) and said trusted node (T); (Col 15 lines 19-22)

establishing a secure tunnel (Col 11 lines 55-58) as said session key is used to encrypt data packets transmitted between said IP device (U) and said trusted node (T), such that said data packets transmitted between said IP device (U) and an Internet via the untrusted access station (A) are protected from modification and manipulation by said untrusted access station (A) in said secure tunnel. (Col 12 lines 14-22, Col 6 lines 14-22)

As per claims 5, 6 and 35, Giniger et al. discloses a method for providing public access to IP-based networks through a third party owned, untrusted infrastructure having untrusted access stations (A) comprising:

establishing a connection between an IP-device (U) and said access station (A), (Col 9 lines 30-35) wherein an IP address is dynamically allocated to said IP device (U); (Col 11 lines 59-63) sending an ISP authentication request to said internet service provider (P) affiliated with said IP device (U) requesting to validate the authenticity of the ISP (P); (Col 14 lines 47-50, Col 14 lines 57-62)

sending a user authentication request from said ISP (P) to said IP device (U) to validate whether said IP device (U) has a service agreement with said ISP

Art Unit: 2141

(P); (Col 14 lines 47-54) upon affirmative authentication of said ISP (P) and said IP device (U); (Col 14 lines 54-57)

establishing a trusted connection between said IP device (U) and a trusted network element (T), (Col 11 lines 55-58) wherein a secure tunnel allows the ISP (P) to dynamically obtain control of resource in (Col 16 lines 41-46) said untrusted third party owned access station (A) (Col 9 lines 30-35, Figure 2 item 200) in order to provide the IP device (U) with prescribed for services. (Col 10 lines 9-20)

wherein a connection is established between the terminal the ISP for trusted network services without providing the terminal with direct access to the internet. (Col 9 lines 30-56 "An Internet Point-of-Presence (POP) 220 provides an access point for communication between edge device 110 and Internet 100" and this reads on the claim limitations in that the access to the internet goes to the Internet Point-of-Presence (POP) 220, and in that sense it is not have direct access.)

As per claim 7, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 6, wherein the ISP authentication request contains an authentication challenge (CH_U) from terminal (U) to ISP (P) to authenticate the identity of ISP (P). (Col 5 lines 62-65, Col 12 lines 15-17, Col 12 lines 25-27, Col 14 lines 57-62)

Art Unit: 2141

As per claim 8, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 6, wherein the user authentication request contains an authentication challenge (CH_IP) from ISP (P) to the terminal (U) to authenticate the identity of terminal (U) as having subscribed to said ISP (P) for services. (Col 5 lines 58-67, Col 12 lines 25-27, Col 14 lines 52-57)

As per claim 9, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 6, the ISP (P) generates a session key for encrypting data packets upon the affirmative authentication of the terminal (U) and the ISP (P). (Col 14 lines 54-67, Col 15 line 19-22)

As per claim 10, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 6, wherein the ISP (P) selects a trusted node (T) with said Internet. (Col 16 lines 42-45, Col 17 lines 24-28)

As per claim 11, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated

Art Unit: 2141

with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 9, wherein said ISP (P) distributes said session key to the terminal (U) and the trusted node (T). (Col 15 lines 19-22)

As per claim 12, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 6, wherein the session key is used to encrypt data packets transmitted between the terminal (U) and the trusted node (T). (Col 15 lines 19-22)

As per claim 13, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 12, wherein the transmission of encrypted data packets between the terminal (U) and the trusted node (T) established a secure tunnel. (Col 11 lines 55-58)

As per claim 14, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 13, wherein the secure tunnel protects the data packets from manipulation by said untrusted access station (A). (Col 12 lines 14-22, Col 6 lines 14-22)

Art Unit: 2141

As per claim 15, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 6, a time out is distributed to the trusted node (T) and terminal (U) upon the establishment of a secure tunnel. (Col 6 lines 23-27, Col 17 lines 28-34)

As per claim 16, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 15, wherein the timeout value is set to a predetermined time period, wherein if the secure tunnel is active for a time period equal to the timeout value, the secure tunnel will expire and the resources utilized for the secure tunnel will be releases. (Col 6 lines 23-27, Col 17 lines 28-34)

As per claim 17, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 6, wherein upon receipt of an encrypted data packet from the terminal (U), the trusted node (T) decrypts the data packet and forwards the decrypted data packet to the Internet. (Col 7 lines 45-48, Col 12 lines 19-22, Col 15 lines 19-22, Col 17 lines 44-47)

As per claim 18, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 17, wherein upon receipt of an encrypted data packet from the terminal (U), the trusted node (T) decrypts the data packet and forwards the decrypted data packet to a remote communication peer (R). (Col 12 lines 19-22, Col 15 lines 19-22, Col 17 lines 44-47)

As per claim 19, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 18, wherein the Internet sends an original data packet to the terminal (U) via the trusted node (T), wherein the trusted node (T) encrypts the original data packet and forwards the encrypted data packet to the terminal (U) via the untrusted access station (A). (Col 9 lines 30-35, Col 12 lines 19-22, Col 15 lines 19-22, Col 17 lines 44-47)

As per claim 20, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 17, wherein upon receipt of the encrypted data packet from the trusted node (T), the terminal (U) utilizes the session key to decrypt the data packet thus yielding

Art Unit: 2141

the original data packet from the Internet. (Col 13 lines 54-59, Col 15 lines 44-47, Col 17 lines 44-47)

As per claim 21, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 18, wherein a remote communication peer (R) sends an original data packet to the terminal (U) via the trusted node (T), wherein the trusted node (T) encrypts the original data packet and forwards the encrypted data packet to the terminal (U) via the untrusted access station (A). (Col 9 lines 30-35, Col 12 lines 9-12, Col 15 lines 9-12, Col 17 lines 44-47)

As per claim 22, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 21, wherein upon receipt of the encrypted data packet from the trusted node (T), the terminal (U) utilizes the session key to decrypt the data packet thus yielding the original data packet from the remote communication peer (R). (Col 13 lines 54-59, Col 15 lines 44-47, Col 17 lines 44-47)

As per claim 24, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 6,

Art Unit: 2141

wherein the untrusted access station (A) is incorporated into a third party owned network infrastructure. (Col 9 lines 30-32)

As per claim 25, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 24, wherein the ISP (P) provides the terminal (U) with at least one subscribed for service via an untrusted access station (A). (Col 10 lines 9-20)

As per claim 28, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 24, wherein the untrusted access station (A) is located in the network infrastructure of a public facility. (Col 6 lines 14-16, Col 10 lines 9-20)

As per claim 30, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 24, wherein the untrusted access station (A) is located within the infrastructure of a private household or within the private infrastructure of a corporation or government institution. (Col 6 lines 44-46, Col 10 lines 9-20)

Art Unit: 2141

As per claim 33, Giniger et al. discloses a method of establishing secure communication between a terminal (U), the Internet Service Provider (P) affiliated with that terminal and the Internet over an untrusted access station (A) of claim 6, wherein the terminal (U) recognizes a compatible access point by broadcasting a dynamic host configuration protocol (DHCP) request and receiving a "magic" DHCP response from the untrusted access station (A). (Col 9 lines 5-11, Col 11 lines 36-51, Col 11 lines 59-67, Col 12 lines 1-2)

As per claim 36, Giniter et al. discloses a method of operating an untrusted access station deployed so as to provide a local network with access to a wide area network, the method comprising:

an untrusted access station receiving a request from a terminal to access trusted network services; (Col 9 lines 30-35)

without providing the terminal with direct access to the wide area network, establishing a connection between the terminal and an authentication server for trusted network services (Col 9 lines 30-56 "An Internet Point-of-Presence (POP) 220 provides an access point for communication between edge device 110 and Internet 100" and this reads on the claim limitations in that the access to the internet goes to the Internet Point-of-Presence (POP) 220, and in that sense it is not have direct access.)

performing authentication of the terminal with the authentication server for the trusted network services; (Col 15 line 19)

Art Unit: 2141

allowing the terminal to establish a secure channel to trusted network services across the wide area network only if the authentication succeeds. (Col 6 lines 14-22, Col 12 lines 14-22)

As per claim 37, Giniter et al. discloses the method of claim 36 wherein the authentication is performed using messages protected by public key cryptography. (Col 5 lines 30-44)

As per claim 39, Giniter et al. discloses the method of claim 36 wherein the networks are Internet Protocol networks. (Col 7 lines 33-52)

As per claim 40, Giniter et al. discloses the method of claim 39 wherein the untrusted access station does not assign a global IP address to the terminal but allows the terminal to receive an IP address from the trusted network services. (Col 10 lines 31-56)

As per claim 41, Giniter et al. discloses the method of claim 39 wherein the access station assigns the terminal a special IP address acknowledging that it is able to provide access to the trusted network services. (Col 10 lines 31-56)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2141

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23, 26-27, 29, 31-32, 34 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Giniger et al. (US 6,751,729) in view of Rueda et al. (US2002/0112076).

As per claim 23, Giniger et al. discloses a method of claim 6, however, fails to disclose providing an accounting of time to the untrusted access station (A) for resources utilized by the terminal (U). Rueda et al. discloses wherein the ISP (P) provides an accounting of time to the untrusted access station (A) for resources utilized by the terminal (U). ([0202]) It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to provide an accounting of time to the untrusted access station (A) for resources utilized by the terminal (U) in the disclosure of Giniger et al. because it allows for proprietors of the system to bill for usage. ([0188])

As per claim 26, Giniger et al. discloses a method of claim 6, however, fails to disclose that the ISP (P) reimburses the untrusted access station (A) for resources expended on the terminal (U) according to an accounting of time. Rueda et al. discloses wherein the ISP (P) reimburses the untrusted access station (A) for resources expended on the terminal (U) according to an accounting of time. ([0189], [0202]). It would have been obvious to a person of

Art Unit: 2141

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention for the ISP (P) to reimburse the untrusted access station (A) for resources expended on the terminal (U) according to an accounting of time in the disclosure of Giniger et al. because it is an excellent opportunity for advertisement and provide branding, services, and advertising messages to users. ([0189])

As per claims 27 and 38, Giniger et al. discloses a method of claim 25, however, fails to disclose the ISP (P) bills the terminal (U) for services provided to the terminal (U). Rueda et al. discloses wherein the ISP (P) bills the terminal (U) for services provided to the terminal (U). ([0188]) It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention for the ISP (P) to bill the terminal (U) for services provided to the terminal (U) in the disclosure of Giniger et al. because it allows to charge costs incurred by the visiting client for system services. ([0200])

As per claim 29, Giniger et al. discloses a method of claim 28, however, fails to disclose the public facility is at least one of an airport, a convention center, a restaurant, a hotel, a library, and a school. Rueda et al. discloses wherein the public facility is at least one of an airport, a convention center, a restaurant, a hotel, a library, and a school. ([0063],[0153]) It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention for a public facility to be an airport, a convention center, a restaurant, a hotel, a library, and a school in

Art Unit: 2141

the disclosure of Giniger et al. because it allows travelers would be able to have high-speed Internet access from within their suites with their computers that have been configured for their individual corporate LANs or home use. ([0063]).

As per claim 31, Giniger et al. discloses a method of claim 6, however, fails to disclose the untrusted access stations (A) is compatible with at least one wireless transmission. Rueda et al. discloses wherein the untrusted access stations (A) is compatible with at least one wireless transmission standard including WLAN (IEEE 802.11), BlueTooth (IEEE 802.15), or HiperLan.

([0267],[0268]) It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention for the untrusted access stations (A) to be compatible with at least one wireless transmission because it provides laptop access using wireless lan cards. ([0268])

As per claim 32, Giniger et al. discloses a method of claim 6, however fails to disclosure the terminal (U) is a mobile device. Rueda et al. discloses wherein the terminal (U) is a mobile device. ([0063], [0207], [0274]) It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention for the terminal (U) to be a mobile device in the invention of Giniger et al. because more and more business transactions are initiated, negotiated and closed with no concern for geography. ([0063])

Art Unit: 2141

As per claim 34, Giniger et al. discloses a method of claim 6, however, fails to disclose the assigning a local unique identification (LUID) to the terminal (U) in order to facilitate matching the terminal with data packets. Rueda et al. discloses wherein the untrusted access station (A) assigns an local unique identification (LUID) to the terminal (U) in order to facilitate matching the terminal with data packets when the untrusted access station (A) is simultaneously serving multiple terminals (U). ([0135]-[0136], Figure 14). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to assign a local unique identification (LUID) to the terminal (U) in the disclosure of Giniger et al. because it allows a server system to send a packet back to the correct client when two or more clients have the same IP address. ([0134])

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chirag R. Patel whose telephone number is (571)272-7966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached on (571) 272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information

Art Unit: 2141

for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Rupal Dharia', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER